	i I	
1	MOT	
2	EILEEN MULLIGAN MARKS, ESQ. BAR NO. 005708	
2	SHARON A. PARKER, ESQ.	
3	BAR NO. 008274 THE MARKS LAW GROUP, LLP	
4	1120 Town Center Drive, Suite 200	
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 (702)341-7870; Fax: (702)341-8049	•
	efile@markslg.com	
6	Attorneys for Interstate Plumbing & Air Conditioning, LLC	
7	Will Conditioning, BBC	
8	UNITED STATES DIS	TRICT COURT
9	DISTRICT OF	NEVADA
10	·	
11		
12	FULTON PARK UNIT OWNERS'	CASE NO. 2:11-cv-00783-NDF-MLC
13	ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit ) community association, individually and in its )	Consolidated with: 2:08-cv-01223; 2:11-cv-
	representative capacity; LEON TURNER, an )	00425; 2:11-cv-00812; 2:11-cv-00830; 2:11-cv-
14	individual, individually and in his representative ) capacity; MICHAEL CROWDER, an	01498; 2:11-cv-01875; 2:12-cv-00002; 2:12-cv-00206; 2:12-cv-00207
15	individual, individually and in his representative )	
16	capacity; TRICIA CROWDER, an individual, individually and in her representative capacity;	(ELECTRONIC FILING CASE)
ŀ	RAINA MUSSER, an individual, individually )	
17	and in her representative capacity; DOE HOME-) OWNER/CONDOMINIUM/COMMUNITY	JOINT MOTION TO STRIKE FUGITIVE PLEADING PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED
18	ASSOCIATIONS 1-10,000; DOE )	COMPLAINT AGAINST NON-UPONOR
19	HOMEOWNERS 1 – 250,000,	DEFENDANTS [DOC. 87]
	Plaintiffs,	
20	) vs. )	
21	)	
22	PN II, INC. d/b/a PULTE HOMES OF ) NEVADA, a Nevada corporation; D.R. )	
	HORTON, INC., a Delaware corporation; ROE)	
23	INDIVIDÚALS 1-10,000, individuals; RÓE ) BUSINESS or GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES )	$\epsilon$
24	1-10,000, including Nevada corporations; ROE )	
25	INDIVIDUALS 10,001-20,000 and ROE ) BUSINESS or GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES )	
	10,001-20,000, including Nevada corporations,	
26	Defendants.	
27	Dorondants.	
	<u>'</u>	

### JOINT MOTION TO STRIKE FUGITIVE PLEADING PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED COMPLAINT AGAINST NON-UPONOR DEFENDANTS [DOC. 87]

2

3

4

5

6

7

1

Third-Party Defendants Interstate Plumbing & Air Conditioning, LLC and RCR Plumbing & Mechanical, Inc., submit this Joint Motion to Strike Fugitive Pleading Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint Against Non-Uponor Defendants. [Doc. 87] This Brief is made and based upon the pleadings and papers on file herein, the Points and Authorities submitted herewith, and such argument as the Court may entertain at the time of the hearing of this matter.

8

### **Points and Authorities**

9

10

11

12

in the lead case of Hartmann v. Uponor, Inc., Case No. 08-cv-1223, this Court ordered as

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

See Hartmann v. Uponor, Inc., Case No. 08-cv-1223. [Doc. 1206 at p. 63] The Court's Order on

Plaintiffs' Motion for Clarification did not alter or modify the above Order requiring Plaintiffs

28

Pursuant to this Court's Memorandum Opinion and Order Containing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiffs' Motion to Certify Class follows:

> Plaintiffs' Amended Motion to Certify Class [Doc. No. 691] is DENIED as to all non-Uponor Defendants. Therefore, all claims brought by homeowner Plaintiffs, seeking to represent others similarly situated, against all non-Uponor Defendants are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. Further, all claims brought by common interest community Plaintiffs owning community property, seeking to represent other common interest communities similarly situated, against all non-Uponor Defendants are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. As against all non-Uponor Defendants, all non-class claims brought by homeowner Plaintiffs in their individual capacities and all non-class claims alleging common constructional defects within a single development shall, for case management purposes only, be adjudicated in a new lead case, Fulton Park v. PN II, 11-cv-783-NDF. Within thirty (30) days from the date of this order, Plaintiffs alleging such claims against non-Uponor Defendants shall file an Amended Complaint in both this Hartmann case (to facilitate electronic notice only) and the lead case, Fulton Park v. PN II, 11-cv-783. Neither new parties nor new claims may be added without leave of Court. All subsequent pleadings relating to such claims involving non-Uponor Defendants shall then only be filed in the lead case, Fulton Park v. PN II, 11-ev-783.

to file an Amended Complaint in the *Fulton Park* matter. See *Hartmann v. Uponor, Inc.*, Case No. 08-cv-1223. [Doc. 1223]

On January 17, 2014, Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Leave to File an Amended Consolidated Complaint against non-Uponor Defendants. [Doc. 86] While the Motion for Leave remains pending, Plaintiffs moved forward and filed their Amended Consolidated Complaint on January 23, 2014. [Doc. 87] The Amended Consolidated Complaint against the non-Uponor Defendants add two new plaintiffs — Fiesta Park Homeowners' Association and Anthem Highlands Community Association and adds new class action claims, which were dismissed with this Court's above-referenced Order.

The Court's Order clearly limits the Amended Consolidated Complaint - Plaintiffs may not include new parties or new claims. While Defendants recognize that Plaintiffs may disagree concerning their ability to refile class action allegations, there is no disagreement as to the limitations placed on Plaintiffs for adding new parties. Plaintiffs certainly understand this as they have filed a Motion for Leave requesting *permission* to file the Amended Consolidated Complaint which adds new parties. Despite the filing of the Motion seeking leave to file the Amended Complaint, and before this Court could rule on the Motion seeking leave, Plaintiffs proceeded with filing the Amended Complaint.

The Amended Consolidated Complaint is a fugitive document clearly in violation of this Court's Order and inconsistent with the pending Motion for Leave. Defendants request that this Court enter the attached Order and immediately strike the same. Defendants are unfairly prejudiced by this filing as they now have to prepare a response to a pleading which has not yet been approved by this Court. Regardless of this Court's ultimate decision on the Motion for Leave to file an Amended Consolidated Complaint, an operative pleading will need to be filed and Defendants would then have to re-file responsive pleadings, resulting in unnecessary time, expense and filings for the Court.

26 l

27 || ///

///

28 | 1///

# 

1	Defendants respectfully request a decision on this Motion to Strike by February 4, 2014,	
2	which is two days before the responsive pleading deadline of February 6, 2014. A copy of the	
3	proposed Order on Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint against Non-	
4	Uponor Defendants [Doc. 87] is attached hereto as Exhibit "1".	
5		
6	Dated: January 31, 2014 THE MARKS LAW GROUP, LLP	
7	By: /s/Sharon S. Parker	
8	EILEEN MULLIGAN MARKS, ESQ. BAR NO. 005708	
9	SHARON A. PARKER, ESQ. BAR NO. 008274	
10	. 1120 Town Center Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144	
11	Attorneys for INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC	
12		
13	Dated: January 31, 2014 HANSEN RASMUSSEN, LLC	
14	By: <u>/s/ R. Scott Rasmussen</u> R. Scott Rasmussen, Esq.	
15	Nevada Bar No. 6100 Dusti L. Ukeiley, Esq.	
16	Nevada Bar No. 8700 1835 Village Center Circle	
17 18	Las Vegas, NV 89134 Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant	
19	RCR PLUMBING AND MECHANICAL, INC.	
20		
21	Dated: January 31, 2014 HELM & ASSOCIATES	
22	By: /s/ Kelvin Helm Kelvin Helm, Esq.	
23	Nevada Bar No. 3432 2810 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite G-67	
24	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Co-Attorneys for CEDCO, INC.	
25		
26		
27		
_		

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on January 31, 2014, the JOINT MOTION TO STRIKE FUGITIVE PLEADING PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED COMPLAINT AGAINST NON-UPONOR DEFENDANTS [DOC. 87] was served on all parties or persons requesting notice via the United State District Court CM/ECF system.

An Employee of the MARKS LAW GROUP, LLP

# EXHIBIT "1"

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### DISTRICT OF NEVADA

FULTON PARK UNIT OWNERS'
ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit
community association, individually and in its
representative capacity; LEON TURNER, an
individual, individually and in his representative)
capacity; MICHAEL CROWDER, an
individual, individually and in his representative)
capacity; TRICIA CROWDER, an individual,
individually and in her representative capacity;
RAINA MUSSER, an individual, individually
and in her representative capacity; DOE HOME-)
OWNER/CONDOMINIUM/COMMUNITY

Plaintiffs,

ASSOCIATIONS 1-10,000; DOE HOMEOWNERS 1 – 250,000,

vs.

PN II, INC. d/b/a PULTE HOMES OF NEVADA, a Nevada corporation; D.R. HORTON, INC., a Delaware corporation; ROE INDIVIDUALS 1-10,000, individuals; ROE BUSINESS or GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES 1-10,000, including Nevada corporations; ROE INDIVIDUALS 10,001-20,000 and ROE BUSINESS or GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES 10,001-20,000, including Nevada corporations, Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:11-cv-00783-NDF-MLC

Consolidated with: 2:08-cv-01223; 2:11-cv-00425; 2:11-cv-00812; 2:11-cv-00830; 2:11-cv-01498; 2:11-cv-01875; 2:12-cv-00002; 2:12-cv-00206; 2:12-cv-00207

### (ELECTRONIC FILING CASE)

ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED COMPLAINT AGAINST NON-UPONOR DEFENDANTS [DOC. 87]

ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED COMPLAINT AGAINST NON-UPONOR DEFENDANTS [DOC. 87]

This matter is before the Court on Defendants Interstate Plumbing & Air Conditioning, LLC's and RCR Plumbing & Mechanical, Inc.'s Joint Motion to Strike Fugitive Pleading, specifically Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint Against Non-Uponor Defendants. [Doc. 87] Specifically, Defendants ask the Court to strike Plaintiffs' Amended Consolidated Complaint filed on January 23, 2014, which was filed by Plaintiffs in advance of Plaintiffs' Motion for

# Case 2:11-cv-00783-NDF-MLC Document 102 Filed 01/31/14 Page 8 of 8

1	Leave to File an Amended Consolidated Complaint being ruled upon. The Amended
2	Consolidated Complaint contains new parties and new claims. [Doc. 87]
3	The Court agrees with moving Defendants that the inclusion of new parties and new
4	claims in the Amended Consolidated Compliant is in direct contravention to this Court's Order
5	in the lead case of <i>Hartmann v. Uponor, Inc.</i> , Case No. 08-cv-1223. [Doc. 1206], which directed
6	Plaintiffs to seek leave of Court prior to adding new parties and claims. The fugitive pleading
7	further prejudices the Defendants and results in unnecessary filings and expenses.
8	The Court hereby ORDERS Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint Against Non-Uponor
9	Defendants [Doc. 87] be stricken.
10	Defendants [Doc. 87] be stricken.
11 12	Dated:
13	By: NANCY D. FREUDENTHAL CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14	Submitted by:
15	Submitted by:
16	EILEEN MULLIGAN MARKS, ESQ.
17	BAR NO. 005703 SHARON A. PARKER, ESQ.
18	BAR NO. 008274 THE MARKS LAW GROUP, LLP
19	1120 Town Center Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
20	(702)341-7870; Fax: (702)341-8049
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 F	1